

sound, then that too will be illegal on the ham bands.

But don't ask the FCC their opinion on a particular grey area -- their answer will always be "No!".

--

Eric Williams wd6cmu@netcom.com | Never attribute to malice that which
WD6CMU@WD6CMU.#NOCAL.CA.USA.NA | is adequately explained by stupidity.

Date: 28 Jan 93 16:14:54 GMT
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu
Subject: Language on the net
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

> USENET/INTERNET is changing just like Ham Radio. There are a lot of schools
> below the University level receiving this group now. Of course, this has
> always been "mixed company".
>

Amen, Bill. Those with a limited knowledge of their language do find it easy to cover that up with a limited selection of short (usually 4-letter) words. Others find a little vocabulary exercise to be the better solution. That's why my thesaurus and dictionary sit just above my monitor.

steve W3GRG

Date: 28 Jan 1993 22:30:51 GMT
From: ucsd.edu!brian@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: Language on the net (was Re: Closed repeaters)
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Jay, you must cut Jon Bloom some slack; Usenet is something he hasn't a lot of experience with and therefore he MUST apply his experience from other media - he has no other basis.

Just don't be surprised if he's mistaken in his conclusions as a result.
- Brian

Date: 28 Jan 1993 16:23:02 GMT
From: sun-barr!west.West.Sun.COM!l1-a!filloyd@ames.arpa
Subject: Net Control Signing for others on Net
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <Pine.3.04.9301271136.A12343-b100000@pennsy.med.jhu.edu>
phillips@pennsy.med.jhu.EDU (Mark D. Phillips) writes:

>A local ham has been telling me that when I participate in a Net, I do
>not need to identify at the end because "Net Control signs for all
>check-ins and participants at the conclusion of the net."

BZZZZZT. Wrong. One station cannot ID for another. Period.

>I have been suspicious of this, as I understand the regs to say that
>_I_ am the one responsible for identifying my transmissions. Further,
>it seems to me there would be problems if the Net is conducted through
>a multi-band linked repeater. For example, say I am working the Net on
>2 meters and Net Control is working on 440 MHz. If Net Control signs
>for me, then my transmissions are IDed on 440 and on all the repeater
>outputs, but NOT on the 2 meter input frequency. So even if I could
>let Net Control ID for me, there would be times when I am left with MY
>transmissions being unidentified.

Repeaters identify themselves, whether its users do or not. A repeater having ID'd itself does not in any way relieve a user's obligation to ID. When a repeater's users ID, they do so solely for having used the INPUT frequency. Your own voice may be repeated ad-infinitum over dozens of links and frequencies, by lots of different repeaters. Each repeater or transmitter involved in the link takes care of its own ID requirements, which have nothing to do with you.

Amazingly, I've heard repeater owners who don't ID thinking that their repeater does it for them - wrong again.

>Also, if Net Control slips up and forgets to ID for me, who gets slapped
>with the violation, me or Net Control?

Moot point. Net control cannot ID for you. It's your responsibility.

>--> If this is within the rules, I would like to see a reference to the
>specific section of the regulations that allows this. <--

Nope. Not permitted in the rules.

>I don't care if this would make Nets more difficult to manage (although I
>can't see how a callsign here or there would be that much of a problem),
>and I am NOT talking about Nets that are conducted during an emergency (I
>already know that in an emergency, health and welfare take precedence over
>the rules), I just want to know what the rules say.

The rules say that unidentified transmission are prohibited, you must ID at least once every 10 minutes, and at the end of a QSO. The only exceptions are short "test" transmissions - emergencies notwithstanding.

If you're on a net, you can get around this whole problem by getting used to saying "This is <your-call>, back to net...".

-fred

--

```
[ Fred Lloyd, AA7BQ                                Fred.Lloyd@West.Sun.COM ]
[ Sun Microsystems,                                Southwest Area Solaris Transition Manager ]
[ Phoenix, AZ                                     (602) 275-4242 ]
```

Date: Thu, 28 Jan 1993 09:13:06 GMT
From: agate!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!gatech!emory!sol.ctr.columbia.edu!destroyer!
fmsrl7!lynx.unm.edu!nmsu.edu!usenet@ames.arpa
Subject: Packet Radio Internet Gateway
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

I've recently been asked by some of the users of the student operated machine that I help adminster to provide a gateway to the internet for the numerous local packet radio owners. I've just had a short request and I'm interested in finding out what the legalities and technicalities of this addition to our machine might be, I know through the FAQ that the FCC is interested. The gateway would only be for users of our machine and in that sense a private one. If anyone out there in netland would be so kind as to provide a little more information on this matter I'd be most appreciative.

respond to : here or even better rich@scf.nmsu.edu

Thanks,

Rich

```
-----
|                               |
|      Richard G. Jones        |
|      Student Computing Foundation      |
|      New Mexico State University      |
|      inet: rich@scf.nmsu.edu          |
|                               |
```


Date: Thu, 28 Jan 1993 00:45:13 GMT
From: usc!sdd.hp.com!hpscit.sc.hp.com!hplextra!hpl-opus!hpnmdla!
alanb@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: Pres. Clinton speech via Packet?
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In rec.radio.amateur.policy, steve@zero.com (Steve Urich) writes:

> You mean to tell me all the other non-ham packet bulletins are
>actually a form of illegal broadcasting and nobody is saying anything
>not even the FCC?

If the bulletin has nothing to do with amateur radio, then I think it is technically in violation. I suspect that the FCC would take a pretty liberal attitude on this, however. I doubt they would object to, say, FOR_SALE items like PC's, oscilloscopes, and surplus transformers, even though these are not directly related to amateur radio. They might frown upon FOR_SALE items like a Tahoe timeshare condo, an '89 Buick LeSabre or a telemarketing franchise.

>> (23) Informational bulletin. A message directed only to amateur
>> operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest
>> to the amateur service.
>What is the difference between informational bulletins directed to amateur
>if these bulletins have nothing to do with the Amateur Radio service and
>broadcasting?

I think the key phrase is "of subject matter of direct interest to the amateur service." If it does not have a ham-radio tie-in it shouldn't be in a 1-way transmission (such as a packet bulletin.)

AL N1AL

Date: Thu, 28 Jan 1993 11:47:34 GMT
From: haven.umd.edu!darwin.sura.net!udel!gvls1!news@ames.arpa
Subject: US Coast Guard & CW
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

This is for those of you who asked for a copy of the write-up:

===== C U T H E R E =====

[CGD 92-078]

Discontinuance of Coast Guard
Medium Frequency (MF) Morse
Radiotelegraphy Services

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.
ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The United States Coast Guard intends to discontinue watchkeeping on the distress frequency 500 kHz at all of its Communication Stations and cutters, and cease all Morse Code services in the medium frequency radiotelegraphy band effective August 1, 1993.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
LCDR Frank Irr, Telecommunications Operations Division (G-TT0),
Office of Command, Control and Communications,
U.S. Coast Guard,
2100 Second Street SW.,
Washington, DC 20593-0001,
telephone (202) 267- 1348,
telefax (202) 267-4662,
or telex 892427 (COASTGUARD WASH).

Normal office hours are between 7 a.m. and 3:30 p.m. Monday through Friday, except holidays.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Morse radiotelegraphy was first used to save lives at sea in 1899. In 1914, after the sinking of the TITANIC, the first International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea (SOLAS) was adopted, requiring certain ships to maintain a continuous Morse radiotelegraphy listening watch to ensure that calls from a ship in distress would be received. Coast stations had similar requirements to maintain a continuous listening watch during their hours of service.

This maritime radio distress system was originally developed as a ship-to-ship alerting system, but slowly evolved into a ship-to-shore alerting system as radio performance improved and shore-based rescue coordination centers were created. Use of Morse telegraphy as the primary international distress and calling system for ships at sea has remained relatively unchanged for almost 100 years.

The Global Maritime Distress and Safety System (GMDSS) amendment to the SOLAS Convention were adopted in 1988. This system is more efficient and provides the mariner with options for initiating or relaying distress

alerts, and passing and receiving maritime safety information.

Options now available in the United States include Inmarsat satellite, radio telex, MF/HF single sideband, VHF radiotelephone, and satellite emergency positioning indicating radio beacons (EPIRBs) for distress alerts and telecommunications; and Inmarsat SafetyNET, Navtex and HF Navtex for maritime safety information broadcasts.

The SOLAS Convention requires that ships install Navtex equipment and satellite EPIRBs by August 1, 1993. Navtex broadcasts include the same notices to mariners, weather, search and rescue and fixed fishing gear location products that have been provided by United States MF Morse broadcasts.

Distress and other calls to any U.S. Coast Guard Communications Station can also be made on any of the following HF single sideband radiotelephone channels: 424 (4134 kHz), 601 (6200 kHz), 816 (8240 kHz), 1205 (12242 kHz).

The Coast Guard believes that the above options are sufficient to ensure that adequate distress and safety communications capabilities are available. Therefore, effective August 1, 1993, the Coast Guard will cease all medium frequency Morse radiotelegraphy services.

D.E. Ciancaglini, Rear Admiral,
U.S. Coast Guard,
Chief, Office of Command, Control and Communications.

FR Doc. 93-791 Filed 1-12-93; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-14-M

===== C U T H E R E =====

--

Ed Naratil
Amateur Packet: w3bnr@N3LA.#epa.PA.USA.NA

(All standard disclaimers apply)
ean@VFL.Paramax.COM

Date: Wed, 27 Jan 93 22:05:35 GMT

From: mentor.cc.purdue.edu!noose.ecn.purdue.edu!en.ecn.purdue.edu!n9ljx@purdue.edu

To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <11545@prijat.cs.uofs.edu>, <8565@lib.tmc.edu>,
<Jan27.175006.47745@yuma.ACNS.ColoState.EDU>

Subject : Re: Language on the net (was Re: Closed repeaters)

GEEEEZ!!!! CW/No CW wars in .misc, Jay Maynard bashing here. I am starting to

run out of disk space due to the size of my KILL files!

Will it ever end!

--sas

--

Scott Stambaugh - N9LJX internet: n9ljx@ecn.purdue.edu
Operations Supervisor, ADPC phone: 317 494 7946
Purdue University
West Lafayette, IN 47907-1061

Date: Wed, 27 Jan 93 18:20:33 GMT
From: elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!orchard.la.locus.com!prodnet.la.locus.com!
lando.la.locus.com!dana@ames.arpa
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <C18FCF.1Ep@zero.com>, <14600040@hpnmdla.sr.hp.com>,
<C1EF6r.G3q@zero.com>m
Subject : Re: Pres. Clinton speech via Packet?

In article <C1EF6r.G3q@zero.com> steve@zero.com (Steve Urich) writes:
>In <14600040@hpnmdla.sr.hp.com>, alanb@hpnmdla.sr.hp.com writes:
>[...]
>>If it is sent in a 2-way QSO with another station, no problem. If it is
>>broadcast via a packet BBS bulletin, then it violates the restrictions
>>on broadcasting:
> You mean to tell me all the other non-ham packet bulletins are
>actually a form of illegal broadcasting and nobody is saying anything
>not even the FCC? Or do you mean if it is repeated over and over again
>in UI frames blatantly into the airwaves from a packet bbs then its
>considered being broadcast.
>>
>> 97.113 (c) No station shall transmit communications in order to
>> engage in any form of broadcasting...
>>
>> 97.3 (10) Broadcasting. Transmissions intended for reception
>> by the general public, either direct or relayed. ...
>Here is the clincher. ``General Public''. Now if a bbs fwds messages to
>another bbs and the ``General Public'' has a tnc on a scanner would that
>be broadcasting if that ``General Public'' person complains to the FCC
>about what is being sent over the air?

Al, I believe, is mistaken with respect to this issue. At the very least,
AX.25 packet is practically never a one-way transmission. Most AX.25 consists
of data and acks flowing in both directions, i.e., a two-way QSO.

--

* Dana H. Myers KK6JQ | Views expressed here are *
* (310) 337-5136 | mine and do not necessarily *
* dana@locus.com DoD #466 | reflect those of my employer
*
* This Extra supports the abolition of the 13 and 20 WPM tests *

Date: 28 Jan 1993 02:21:12 GMT
From: agate!spool.mu.edu!uwm.edu!cs.utexas.edu!tamsun.tamu.edu!willis@ames.arpa
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <1993Jan26.183209.9439@ke4zv.uucp>, <8558@lib.tmc.edu>,
<1993Jan27.171046.29725@netcon.smc.edu>
Subject : Re: Closed repeaters (FCC wants them open)

In article <1993Jan27.171046.29725@netcon.smc.edu> agood@netcon.smc.edu (Aaron Good) writes:

[a lot of stuff about there being a lot of closed repeaters in his area
that want, in his NSHO, too much \$\$ for membership]

1. I applaud the attempt to focus back where the problems are; obviously Atlanta and Texas have things well in hand 8-); why don't the SoCal gang argue among themselves.
2. Are there no open repeaters in your area? I'd be surprised, despite the apparent number of closed repeaters. If there are open repeaters, why do you **need** more? What's the purpose of putting up another in the same band besides vanity?
3. If there really are money making repeater 'clubs', how much effort have you put into changing it through your local organizations?

Cheers,
Willis n5szf
not (yet?) an Armadillo, but willing to contribute.

Date: Wed, 27 Jan 1993 17:50:06 GMT
From: yuma!gw214790@purdue.edu
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <8557@lib.tmc.edu>, <11545@prijat.cs.uofs.edu>, <8565@lib.tmc.edu>
Subject : Re: Language on the net (was Re: Closed repeaters)

In article <8565@lib.tmc.edu> jmaynard@oac.hsc.uth.tmc.edu (Jay Maynard) writes:
>In article <11545@prijat.cs.uofs.edu> bill@cs.uofs.edu (Bill Gunshannon) writes:
>>I don't think I would be classed as a prude, but then, I also don't think of
>>USENET as being the same as a Marine barracks either.

>

>I don't think that this is a Marine barracks either, but, as I told Jon Bloom,
>there are times that other words don't fit.

>Jay Maynard, EMT-P, K5ZC, PP-ASEL | Never ascribe to malice that which can

Try "60 days to a more effective vocabulary". There are words that do fit
that are not offensive to anyone.

Some of us aren't prudes and don't swear when we injure ourselves.

Galen Watts, KF0YJ

Date: 28 Jan 1993 17:38:53 GMT

From: munnari.oz.au!spool.mu.edu!uwm.edu!cs.utexas.edu!tamsun.tamu.edu!
cs.tamu.edu!kurt@network.UCSD.EDU

To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <Jan27.214742.55990@yuma.ACNS.ColoState.EDU>, <8583@lib.tmc.edu>,
<Jan28.150743.70665@yuma.ACNS.ColoState.EDU>&

Subject : Re: Language on the net (was Re: Closed repeaters)

In article <Jan28.150743.70665@yuma.ACNS.ColoState.EDU>,
gw214790@LANCE.ColoState.Edu (Galen Watts) writes:

|> >>My forbearance comes from long experience in how not to injure myself

|> >>when performing menial tasks.

|> >Accidents do happen.

|>

|> Only if you let them.

It must be so nice to be so blessed by [fill in your favorite Diety] so as to
consider oneself protected from random incidents and timing.

I just noticed the location of the "estimable" Mr. Watts. It explains much.

--

Kurt Freiburger, wb5bbw kurt@cs.tamu.edu 409/847-8607 fax:409/847-8578
Dept. of Computer Science, Texas A&M University DoD #264: BMW R80/7 pilot
"We preserve our freedom using three boxes: ballot, jury, and cartridge."

*** Not an official document of Texas A&M University ***

Date: 27 Jan 93 18:49:33 GMT

From: ogicse!uwm.edu!cs.utexas.edu!bcm!lib!oac.hsc.uth.tmc.edu!
jmaynard@network.UCSD.EDU
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <11545@prijat.cs.uofs.edu>, <8565@lib.tmc.edu>,
<Jan27.175006.47745@yuma.ACNS.ColoState.EDU>
Subject : Re: Language on the net (was Re: Closed repeaters)

In article <Jan27.175006.47745@yuma.ACNS.ColoState.EDU>
gw214790@LANCE.ColoState.Edu (Galen Watts) writes:
>In article <8565@lib.tmc.edu> jmaynard@oac.hsc.uth.tmc.edu (Jay Maynard) writes:
>>I don't think that this is a Marine barracks either, but, as I told Jon Bloom,
>>there are times that other words don't fit.
>Try "60 days to a more effective vocabulary". There are words that do fit
>that are not offensive to anyone.

Sorry. I can only disagree.

If you are that easily offended, how do you function in society?

>Some of us aren't prudes and don't swear when we injure ourselves.

I can only admire your forbearance. Would that it were not associated with
blatant prudery and offensensivity.

--

Jay Maynard, EMT-P, K5ZC, PP-ASEL | Never ascribe to malice that which can
jmaynard@oac.hsc.uth.tmc.edu | adequately be explained by stupidity.
"begin 666 foo 266]U(&AA=F4@;F\@;&EF92X: ` end" -- Daniel Drucker

Date: Thu, 28 Jan 1993 15:07:43 GMT
From: yuma!gw214790@purdue.edu
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <8573@lib.tmc.edu>, <Jan27.214742.55990@yuma.ACNS.ColoState.EDU>,
<8583@lib.tmc.edu>
Subject : Re: Language on the net (was Re: Closed repeaters)

In article <8583@lib.tmc.edu> jmaynard@oac.hsc.uth.tmc.edu (Jay Maynard) writes:
>In article <Jan27.214742.55990@yuma.ACNS.ColoState.EDU>
gw214790@LANCE.ColoState.Edu (Galen Watts) writes:
>>The ease at which I'm offended depends on the individual situation.
>>I am not as easily offended as the minister down the street, but obviously
>>I am more easily offended than you. When offended, I sometimes go
>>for long walks near my rural home, or just laugh at the limited
>>vocabulary of those that offend me.
>

>Ah. You're prejudiced, then.

That's quite a leap of logic.

>I don't suppose it has occurred to you that there are those with large and
>varied vocabularies that nonetheless find the words that offend you useful,
>has it?

The number of words that offend me is quite small. As I stated, the offense depends on the context and venue.

>

>>My forbearance comes from long experience in how not to injure myself
>>when performing menial tasks.

>Accidents do happen.

Only if you let them.

>>If my prudery is blatant, then your capacity to offend must be infinite.

>

>Hardly; after all, if my vocabulary is as limited as you claim, then I must
>soon run out of things to say.

If you run out, you can always spout some expletive.

>>Galen Watts, KF0YJ and president of the Bug Jay Maynard Society.

>

>You're no better than the second vice president; Julian Macassey and John
>Stanley beat you to it by quite a bit. I routinely ignore both.

>Jay Maynard, EMT-P, K5ZC, PP-ASEL | Never ascribe to malice that which can

My apologies to Julian and John for not observing decorum and Robert's Rules of Order.

Now, you can ignore me, too. Have a life, Mr. Maynard.

May you live in interesting times,
Galen Watts, KF0YJ

Date: Thu, 28 Jan 1993 20:34:19 GMT
From: yuma!gw214790@purdue.edu
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <8583@lib.tmc.edu>, <Jan28.150743.70665@yuma.ACNS.ColoState.EDU>,
<1k95ndINNnsu@tamsun.tamu.edu>mes
Subject : Re: Language on the net (was Re: Closed repeaters)

In article <1k95ndINNnsu@tamsun.tamu.edu> kurt@cs.tamu.edu (Kurt Freiburger) writes:

>In article <Jan28.150743.70665@yuma.ACNS.ColoState.EDU>, gw214790@LANCE.ColoState.Edu (Galen Watts) writes:

>|> >>My forbearance comes from long experience in how not to injure myself

>|> >>when performing menial tasks.

>|> >Accidents do happen.

>|>

>|> Only if you let them.

>

>It must be so nice to be so blessed by [fill in your favorite Diet] so as to
>consider oneself protected from random incidents and timing.

The only diet I recognize is myself, since evidence of any other is lacking in credibility. I control my destiny, and if one thinks before acting, one can avoid pain and injury.

>I just noticed the location of the "estimable" Mr. Watts. It explains much.

>Kurt Freiburger, wb5bbw kurt@cs.tamu.edu 409/847-8607

I have a certificate here that says I'm a resident of Texas, just like you and Mr. Maynard and George and Barbara Bush.

It explains much.

Galen Watts, KF0YJ

Date: 27 Jan 93 22:22:29 GMT

From: ucsbcs1!mustang.mst6.lanl.gov!nntp-server.caltech.edu!elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!
swrinde!sdd.hp.com!spool.mu.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!usc!cs.utexas.edu!bcm!lib!
oac.hsc.uth.tmc.edu!jmaynard@

To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <Jan27.175006.47745@yuma.ACNS.ColoState.EDU>, <8573@lib.tmc.edu>, <Jan27.214742.55990@yuma.ACNS.ColoState.EDU>

Subject : Re: Language on the net (was Re: Closed repeaters)

In article <Jan27.214742.55990@yuma.ACNS.ColoState.EDU>

gw214790@LANCE.ColoState.Edu (Galen Watts) writes:

>The ease at which I'm offended depends on the individual situation.

>I am not as easily offended as the minister down the street, but obviously

>I am more easily offended than you. When offended, I sometimes go

>for long walks near my rural home, or just laugh at the limited

>vocabulary of those that offend me.

Ah. You're prejudiced, then.

I don't suppose it has occurred to you that there are those with large and varied vocabularies that nonetheless find the words that offend you useful, has it?

>My forbearance comes from long experience in how not to injure myself
>when performing menial tasks.

Accidents do happen.

>If my prudery is blatant, then your capacity to offend must be infinite.

Hardly; after all, if my vocabulary is as limited as you claim, then I must soon run out of things to say.

>This is fun,

I'm glad you're enjoying yourself.

>Galen Watts, KF0YJ and president of the Bug Jay Maynard Society.

You're no better than the second vice president; Julian Macassey and John Stanley beat you to it by quite a bit. I routinely ignore both.

--

Jay Maynard, EMT-P, K5ZC, PP-ASEL | Never ascribe to malice that which can
jmaynard@oac.hsc.uth.tmc.edu | adequately be explained by stupidity.
"begin 666 foo 266]U(&AA=F4@;F\@;&EF92X: ` end" -- Daniel Drucker

End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #25
